



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,016	11/14/2003	Clifford L. Wolfe	211-01 US	8386	
25319	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	FREEDMAN & ASSOCIATES			GREENHUT, CHARLES N	
117 CENTRE SUITE 350	117 CENTREPOINTE DRIVE SUITE 350			PAPER NUMBER	
NEPEAN, O	NTARIO, K2G 5X3	3652			
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 01/11/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/712,016	WOLFE, CLIFFORD L.	
		Examiner Chadas N. Casashut	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of t	his communication ann	Charles N. Greenhut ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply	ms communication app	cars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende	ROM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(SATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
	2b)∭ This in condition for allowar	ovember 2005. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro fix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are al 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are ob 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subj	) is/are withdraw lowed. cted. pjected to.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	8 November 2005 is/and that any objection to the det(s) including the correction	r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)		_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson	wing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/712,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

## I. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claim(s) 15-19 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McFARLAND (US 4,573,854 A).

- 1.1. With respect to claim 15, McFARLAND discloses disposing a load platform supported by a lift support base behind a rear bumper with the platform in close proximity to the ground, the lift support base mechanically connected at the left and right to a left and right actuator the actuators connected to gear mechanisms, the base attached to the vehicle floor, disposing the load on the platform, using the actuators to move the platform, moving the platform through the rear door of the vehicle to a position where the platform is substantially vertical.
- 1.2. With respect to claim 16, McFARLAND additionally discloses left and right gear mechanisms connected to an extension unit.
- 1.3. With respect to claim 17, McFARLAND additionally discloses translating the extension unit to a position within the vehicle.
- 1.4. With respect to claim 18, McFARLAND additionally discloses the load platform moved onto the extension unit (Fig. 4).
- 1.5. With respect to claim 19, McFARLAND additionally discloses the base located in proximity to the rear door and substantially vertical.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,016 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

## II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WOLFE.

1.1. With respect to claim 1-3, 6, 10-11 WOLFE discloses a horizontally movable load platform (7) supported by wheels (19) having a drive mechanism (15), a base (18), a lift support base (6), a right and left hydraulic lift actuator (5)/(Fig. 17 #10), a right and left gear mechanism (Fig. 6-11), extension unit (25), gear mechanisms acting in response to the translational movement thereof (Fig. 6-8). WOLFE discloses the apparatus for transferring the load through a side of a vehicle as opposed to a rear. It would, however, have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to move the apparatus of WOLFE to the rear of a vehicle, thereby enabling the transfer of a load through the rear of a vehicle since rear-loading of cargo and/or persons is a practice commonly known in the art.

- 1.2. With respect to claims 7-9, WOLFE additionally discloses the drive mechanism comprising a friction and chain drive (15) interacting with a toothed gear (20)/(44) driven by an electric motor (8) powered by a vehicle battery (Fig. 18 #1).
- 1.3. With respect to claim 4-5, WOLFE additionally discloses a toothed gear drive (Fig.13) driven by an electric motor (8), however WOLFE fails to disclose the toothed gear drive for providing the translational movement of the extension unit. WOLFE

Application/Control Number: 10/712,016

Art Unit: 3652

teaches the translational movement provided by a piston (14). It would have been

Page 4

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify WOLFE with the motor driven

toothed gear drive providing the translational movement of the extension unit because

a piston and a motor driven toothed gear drive are known in the art as equivalent and

interchangeable actuation means.

1.4. With respect to claim 12, WOLFE fails to teach the levers and pivot points in the

exact configuration of applicants claimed four-bar linkage. WOLFE, however, also

teaches a four-bar linkage, the operation of which (Fig. 6-9) performs substantially

the same function in substantially the same way to yield substantially the same result

as applicant's. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

rearrange the linkage elements of WOLFE in order to accommodate different loads,

vehicles or desired actuation means.

1.5. With respect to claim 13, WOLFE additionally discloses a lift actuator support (28).

1.6. With respect to claim 14, WOLFE additionally discloses the lift support base (6) in a

position substantially parallel to the side door (Fig. 1). As noted above, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to move the apparatus of WOLFE to

the rear of a vehicle, thereby enabling the transfer of a load through the rear of a

vehicle since rear-loading of cargo and/or persons is a practice commonly known in

the art. Doing so, would thereby cause the support base (6) to achieve a position

substantially parallel to the rear door instead of the side door.

III. Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments entered 11/18/05 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

1. Applicant argues, with respect to claims 1-14 that McFARLAND does not teach every feature of the claimed invention. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

2. Applicant argues, with respect to claims 15-19 that McFARLAND fails to teach "translationally moving the load platform with the load through the rear door opening from the lift support base into the vehicle" because the platform in McFARLAND is moved rotationally instead of translationally. The ordinary meaning of the term 'translationally,' however, does not necessarily exclude rotational motion. 'To translate' is defined simply as 'to transfer from one place to another' (*The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition*) and does not require a specific type of motion, such as, for example, rectilinear, as applicant suggests.

## IV. Conclusion

- 1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 2. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/712,016 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action. .

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm EST.

4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

CG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600